

#### Coastal Resilience through Planning: Integrating CHAS in Planning Schemes

QCoast 9th Knowledge and Information Sharing Forum

3 June 2025 Kim Kirstein, Associate Director Kim.Kirstein@meridianurban.com



### What is the issue?

# State planning policy

Risk assessment is at the heart of planning for hazards

Use Qcoast<sub>2100</sub> CHAS process for fitfor-purpose coastal hazards risk assessment

Council determines what is acceptable or intolerable levels of risk for development



### State interest – natural hazards, risk and resilience



The risks associated with natural hazards, including the projected impacts of climate change, are avoided or mitigated to protect people and property and enhance the community's resilience to natural hazards.

1 – Natural hazard areas are identified (i.e., mapped)

2 – A fit-for-purpose risk assessment is undertaken to identify and achieve an acceptable or tolerable level of risk for personal safety and property in natural hazard areas

3 - Land in an erosion prone area is not to be used for urban purposes, unless the land is located in:

- An urban area in a planning scheme
- An urban footprint identified in a regional plan

4 – Development in bushfire, flood, landslide, storm tide inundation or erosion prone natural hazard areas:

- Avoids the natural hazard area
- Where it is not possible to avoid the natural hazard area, development mitigates the risks to people and property to an acceptable or tolerable level
- 5 Development incorporates a range of risk reduction and resilience measures including
- Supporting disaster management
- Avoiding increases in exposure
- Avoiding public safety risks through hazardous materials
- Maintaining landforms
- 6 Community infrastructure is located and designed to limit risk and maintain functionality

7 – Coastal protection work in an erosion prone area is undertaken only as a last resort where coastal erosion or inundation presents an imminent threat to public safety or existing buildings and structures

8 - Development does not occur in erosion prone areas within a coastal management district unless the development cannot feasibly be located elsewhere

9 – Development permitted in policy 8 above, mitigates the risks to people and property to an acceptable or tolerable level

QCoast<sub>2100</sub> CHAS process is aimed at understanding coastal risks to assets and to enable management of those assets



CHAS informs management of coastal assets across organisational functions from catchment planning, beaches management, parks to infrastructure assets

Meridian Urban **Challenges for land use planning** CHAS implementation presents a number of common challenges

- CHAS often considers risk to existing assets at a point in time assigning a risk level based on the <u>existing</u> use of that land
- This skews the risk assessment results for land use planning purposes
- For example vacant land, open space land or land used for rural purposes is inherently assigned a lower risk value – when the actual hazard risk may be high



## Challenges for land use planning

- Land use planning is about the potential future intent of land
- Given the asset focus, there is often insufficient and conflicting policy directions to support land use planning policy decisions
- Need to consider risk in the absence of the use of the land and then understand the exposure of our settlement patterns to that risk
- Coastal hazards are more complex than others as risk is dynamic over time and permanency must be considered
- Land use planning needs to address risk avoidance, risk mitigation and risk tolerance in future land use policy
- Land use decision-making is increasingly connected to governance risk (i.e., residual risk), disaster management, reconstruction obligation, access to capital and insurability

## Challenges for land use planning

- Councils are at different stages in CHAS implementation
- Given the changes of IPCC to 1.1m SLR, CHAS will eventually need review
- Opportunity as part of planning scheme reviews or amendments to planning schemes to integrate CHAS
- Need coastal hazards risk assessment for land use planning completed to inform new planning schemes
- Change takes time coupled with the time required for preparing a new planning scheme or an amendment to a planning scheme



# What do we need for land use planning?

2

Risk assessments completed for the QCoast<sub>2100</sub> process generally require bridging work to ensure they are suitable for land use planning purposes



# Addressing the challenges

- Mapping which separately identifies:
  - open coast erosion based on timescale triggers (current, 2050, 2070 and/or 2100)
  - Coastal Management District
  - estuarine erosion policy response for open coast erosion versus canals/ estuarine areas varies
  - permanent tidal inundation (SLR)
  - hydraulic risk for storm tide inundation (including identification of the PME)
  - storm surge wave action (if known can be used to address limitations in Queensland Building Assessment Provisions for construction of buildings in storm tide prone areas)
- Land use policy responses and consideration of land use tolerability

Meridian Urban

### **Risk translation process**

Risk Management and Resilience Principles								
	Hazard Behaviour	Hazard Mapping & Risk Assessment Outcomes						
	Risk Multipliers	Factors which affect risk – isolation, hazard qualities						
Ŀ	Community Context	What is the growth and economic vision for this settlement? Implications on achieving it?						
Q	Existing Development	What is the current built form of the settlement?						
\$	Gap analysis	Review existing and desired zone, land use permissions						
*	Apply planning tools	Responses to treat implications of the risks						
Relationship to other hazard / risk management measures								



### Land use policy considerations

Risk outputs and mapping	Alignment of the settlement pattern	Land use compatibility	Critical infrastructure and vulnerable uses	Emergency management	Resilient built form and design	Additional policy considerations for:
Factors such as climate change should be acknowledged, and development should respond to natural hazards for both current and future scenarios	Zoning considers the land uses envisaged for that zone, and whether the risks can be mitigated to an acceptable or tolerable level of risk for those land uses	The tolerability of specific land uses is considered commensurate with the nature of risk and specific assessment benchmarks included where required	Critical infrastructure and vulnerable uses that require careful management in a hazard area, or that should be avoided in a hazard area are identified	Development supports and does not unduly burden disaster management responses and recovery capacity and capabilities, and there is clarity of the use of FEMPs	Buildings are designed and constructed to mitigate risks to a tolerable or acceptable level	<ul> <li>Temporary versus permanent coastal hazard impacts</li> <li>Canals and man- made revetments</li> <li>Status of seawalls with and without</li> </ul>
<b>1</b> Posilient access	2 Development does	<b>3</b> Maintaining environmental	<b>4</b> Site-based risk	5 Hazardous	6 Consistency in	<ul><li>structural mitigation</li><li>Private ownership of mitigation</li></ul>
Kesillerin uccess	behaviour	values and processes	management	chemicals	language	
Development ensures adequate vehicle access and egress routes for safe evacuation	Development does not increase the number of people at risk or contribute to increase the level of risk on surrounding people and property	Development maintains or enhances the natural and protective functions of landforms, vegetation and ecological processes in the management of natural hazard risk	Site-based investigations are undertaken in areas of potential natural hazard risk or where further technical evidence is required to support the development	Development mitigates natural hazard risks to public safety and the environment from the release of hazardous materials and chemicals	Ensure there is consistency in language and terminology used throughout the Planning Scheme for natural hazards policy	Meridian
7	8	9	10	11	12	Urban

# Planning scheme integration



- Place-based strategies
  - Bespoke/ place-specific risk-responsive narratives/ planning provisions



- Zoning
  - Limited development zone, Environmental management zone, Rural zone, zoning changes/ density reductions, split zoning
  - Resilience or adaptation precincts



- Levels of assessment / development assessment pathways
  - Assessment relative to risk, vulnerable uses/ critical infrastructure, operational work, building work



- Overlay codes
  - Combined or separate overlay codes i.e., EPA/ coastal environment, storm tide inundation



- Planning scheme policy
  - Supporting technical information and guidance





### Questions?

4